PTO/SB/21 (02-04) (AW 02/2004)
Approved for use through 7/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

## **TRANSMITTAL FORM**

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission 3

Application Number	09/850,371			
Filing Date	May 7, 2001			
First Named Inventor	Mitchell J. Sparrow			
Art Unit	2857			
Examiner Name	Carol S. W. Tsai			
Attorney Docket No.	ITT 3.0-004			

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)							
Fee Transmittal F			ring(s) nsing-related Papers		After Allowance Communication to Group		
Extension of Time  Express Abandor  Information Discle	Declaration(s) Request	Provi	ion to Convert to a isional Application er of Attorney, Revocation, age of Correspondence		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences  Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)  Proprietary Information  Status Letter  Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):  Return Postcard		
Response to Missincomplete Application Response 37 CFR 1.5	cation to Missing Parts under	Remarks:					
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY OR AGENT							
Firm or Individual Name  Jacques L. Etkowicz		Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) 41,738					
Signature							
Date March 18, 2004							
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION / MAILING							
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this date:							
Typed or printed name	Kathleen Carney	0					
Signature	Rothless	Carrie		Date	March 18, 2004		

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Office, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

ITT 3.0-004

oln. No.: 09/850,371 esponse Dated March 18, 2004 Reply to Notice of Allowance of March 3, 2004

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appln. No:

09/850,371

Applicant:

Mitchell J. Sparrow et al.

Filed:

May 7, 2001

Title:

INSTANTANEOUS MEASUREMENT OF SIGNAL POLARIZATION

TC/A.U.:

Examiner:

Carol S. W. Tsai

Confirmation No.: 2536

Docket No.:

ITT-3.0-004

## COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Responsive to the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance, included with the Notice of Allowability dated March 3, 2004, Applicants make the following statement.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.104(e), the Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance to the extent that the statement might be construed in any way to limit the scope of the allowed claims. Applicants also note that the statement includes for the first time an apparatus based characterization of U.S. Patent 4,556,888 to McNaul. Applicants respectfully disagree with this overly broad interpretation of the McNaul reference. In addition, the Examiner appears to have misstated independent claim 1 by rearranging portions of the claim in a way so as to be confusing. Further, the reasons for allowance are vague by including the statement "and including all of the other limitations in the respective independent claims." This statement may erroneously be interpreted as meaning that the features of all independent claims need to be combined in

Appln. No.: 09/850,371

Response Dated March 18, 2004

Reply to Notice of Allowance of March 3, 2004

order to be patentable over the McNaul reference. Because this statement is obviously erroneous, it should be stricken.

Respectfully submitted,

RatnerPrestia

Jacques L. Etkowicz, Reg. No. 41,738

ITT 3.0-004

Attornéy for Applicants

JLE/kc

Dated: March 18, 2004

P.O. Box 980 Valley Forge, PA 19482 (610) 407-0700

The Commissioner for Patents is hereby authorized to charge payment to Deposit Account No. **18-0350** of any fees associated with this communication.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, with sufficient postage, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on:

March/18, 2004

Kathleen Carney

K\_I:\ITDE\PAV\106US\RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE.DOC